US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

001781

APR 20 1982

MEMORANDUM

Casuall nos: 881 + 739

PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

TO:

Richard Mountfort, PH #23

Registration Division (TS-767)

THRU:

Robert B. Jaeger, Section Head M. 1313

Review Section #1

Toxicology Branch/HED (TS-769)

SUBJECT:

Toxicological opinion on several proposed tolerance

levels for 2,4,5-T and silvex.

Background:

The O.G.C. has obtained from the Registrant (Dow Chem. Co.) a request for tolerances of 2,4,5-T and Silvex, or Silvex alone on several racs. The O.G.C. has subsequently requested a toxicological opinion on the chemical (telephone communication with P. A. Roberts dated 4/1/82) without considering the presence of the possible contaminant, TCDD. The following opinion is in keeping with that request.

Conclusions and Recommendations:

- 1. TOX Branch considers the requested tolerances for silvex per se toxicologically supportable. (See deferral to RCB, below.
 - a. TOX Branch defers to RCB the question of the adequacy of the proposed tolerances in meat/fat and milk under uses proposed by the petitioner with the 700 ppm proposed residues in grasses.
- 2. TOX Branch notes an important data gap on 2,4,5-T, a chronic (long term) feeding study in a non rodent species (dog) has not been submitted for review. It is important since the target organs appear to include the liver and kidneys. Only a PADI should be considered, pending the outcome of a dog study.

1-4/

- 3. TOX Branch would not consider a residue level of greater than 0.05 ppm in milk toxicologically supportable for infants on a whole milk diet without further information i.e., metabolites or parent chemicals exhibiting less toxicity to the liver or kidneys of young animals than older animals.
- 4. Particular formulations were not presented to determine if inerts have been cleared. Therefore, the Registrations cannot be approved by TB until the inerts are identified, and supporting acute TOX data on the formulation are submitted.
- 5. TOX Branch notes the possible presence of the 2,3,7,8 isomer of tetrachloro dibenzo-p-dioxin in both silvex and 2,4,5- τ .

The low levels of dioxin have been tested in conjunction with the chemicals, silvex and 2,4,5-T. The direct effect of TCDD on the animals studied would not appear to indicate a direct oncogenic effect of the TCDD in those studies.

Data summary considered in request. Toxicity data used in this memo was extracted from the PD 1/2/3 on silvex and the PD 2/3 on 2,4,5-T dated July 9, 1979, and the hearing record.

2,4,5-T:

Teratology	<u>Z</u> :	and the second of the second o
Route	Species	Findings .
Oral	Mouse	LEL = 35 mg/kg cleft palate NOEL = 20 mg/kg
Oral	Rat	LEL = 50 mg/kg fetal lethality NOEL = 25 mg/kg
Oral	Rat	NOEL = 50 mg/kg (purified) (only dose) LEL = 50 mg/kg + 0.06 ug/kg TCDD NOEL = 50 mg/kg + 0.03 ug/kg TCDD effect - fetotoxicity as rib abnor- malities
Oral	Rabbit	<pre>LEL = 40 mg/kg feto toxicity-delayed OSS. NOEL = Not determined, 40 mg/kg lowest dose tested</pre>
Oral	Monkey	NOEL = 10 mg/kg (HDT)

Reproduction:

Route Species Findings

Oral Rat LEL = 10 mg/kg No reproductive effect but

neonatal survival reduced

NOEL = 3 mg/kg

Chronic Feeding:

Oral 2 year rat LEL = 10 mg/kg liver metabolism copoporphrin

NOEL = 3 mg/kg/day

Not oncogenic at HDT (30 mg/kg)

Special Study:

in vitro Young rat Young rats have a much lower ability to

excrete 2,4,5-T than adults.

Silvex:

Teratology.

Route Species Findings
Oral Rat LEL = 75 mg/kg terata formation

Teratogenic NOEL = 50 mg/kg

fetotoxicity NOEL = 25 mg/kg (equivocal)

Oral Rat LEL = 100 mg/kg major terata

(PGBE) NOEL = 50 mg/kg

LEL for feto toxicity = 50 mg/kg

NOEL for delayed ossification = 35 mg/kg

Chronic Feeding

Oral 2 year Rat LEL = 7.9 mg/kg body wt. reduced

NOEL = 2.6 mg/kg

Oral 2 year Dog LEL = 2/6 mg/kg male liver degeneration

NOEL = 0.9 mg/kg

A tolerance exists for silvex on pears at 0.05 ppm representing 0.04% of the ADI (0.009 mg/kg/day) based on a 2 year dog feeding study NOEL of 0.9 mg/kg/day.

In addition to published tolerances, the present action for silvex on sugarcane, rice, meat, milk and dairy products will result in a TMRC of 0.0387 mg/day of residue representing approximately 7.17% of the ADI.

The requested action for 2,4,5-T would allow total residues of 0.0385 mg/day (TMRC) and account for 2.14% of the PADI based on a NOEL of 3 mg/kg/day in the rat (a data gap of a nonrodent species, long term study exists).

Both compounds use a safety factor of 100 X.

Both compounds cause terata formation or are fetolethal in the rat at doses of 50 mg/kg or greater. The NOEL for fetolethality in the rat is 25 mg/kg. The NOEL in the mouse is 20 mg/kg; a NOEL for the monkey study was 10 mg/kg.

A possibly exaggerated scenario of a pregnant woman consuming 1 pound of meat, and 1 quart of milk in a day would roughly be equivalent to (0.09 mg/day) or 0.0015 mg/kg of silvex or 2,4,5-T residues. Using the NOEL of 10 mg/kg in the monkey a 6622 fold MOS would exist between exposure through those foods and the NOEL for the monkey study.

TOX Branch considers the MOS ratio an acceptable ratio to limit the likelihood of terata formation through this route of exposure.

> Henry Spencer, Ph.D. Review Section #1 Toxicology Branch/HED (TS-769)

TS-769:SPENCER:s11X73710:4/15/82 card 3

	ı Cı	R 180.340	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		bilvex	4/7/82		
77	4 . ^ 5	Fil	e last u	pasten	4/7/82		001781	
0	ACCEPTABLE DAILY INTAKE DATA							
10 10 11 12	1	Dog mg/kg U.9UU	i i i		ALI ALI ALI 0.0090	11P1 / mg/day(60kg) U.5400	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
£ 10								
15 16		Publishe	e Tolera	ences		<u> </u>	· [1]	
19 19	,	CROP Pears(1	Tolc:	rance Foo		mg/uay(1.5kg)		
. z . z . z . z . z . z . z . z	0.54	MFI Ou mg/day(6		4	HRC	TIM 2	**	
25		t Action	1F11u2-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	the section of the se		
₹ 29 27	ġ.	CI:OP	Toler	cance Fuo	d Factor	mg/day(1.5kg)		
.73 .71 .74	-4	k1ce (13	7) U.	เป็นบ	0.55	0.00005 0.00003 0.01622 0.02146		
	*******	11P1	UKY) ******	U. 03E7 m	g/day(1.5k· ********	3) 7.17 ***********************************	**	
.n				e de la composition de la composition La composition de la				
`. ~"[•		The second secon		
1.						ريه د از		
7.7 .18_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			* .				
	•						F COPY	
٠.,٠ ک		************************				BEST AVAILAB		
			<u></u>	(* ***********************************				
`., `.,					د بي د د يو د مدوو مد محد		——————————————————————————————————————	
: -[: -[·····	·			5	
ے <u>د</u> 	and the particular of the second				रिक्रमाई है। इ.स.च्या	ji magaseje jeni i erkel Limagaseje jeni i erkel	The Section of the Se	

:-

ś	ic Zer an ice	· , · , ')= 1	4/4/32	,
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	001781
. 6	rile lest to the tyle/o		<u> </u>	
, ·	ACCEPTORAL AND THE RE			
. 19	Land of the state	/%3/#3 y = 1	ш∃/с y (e3kg) —	Company to the department of the company of the com
13				
		•	*	
/ر را	ic (137) 0.100 0.400 10.	句()))) は	7.974 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
170 	A CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF THE	5 Z	T. U214U	
71 21	97.00 (500.7) 134.00 mg/207(500.7) 14.00 (500.7)	oy(1,5mg)	\$ 361 	
^23 23	**************************************	*******	*********	•
ر م	the second secon			
21	The same and the s	·		
24 24	•			
			energia de la composição	
`27 21				
) 	;			•
37 37			and the second s	**************************************
	1			
~ °				
* 45 _ 11		<u></u>		<u></u>
7 44 44	i			•
A5				÷
13				
			HABLE	1864 J
,,		TR	EST AVAILABLE	
54 5 4	<u></u>	<u> </u>	, ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•		· * •		
\ .;			•	
4.5				
				X